

To analyze limiting properties of Markov chains, divide the class of stochastic matrices (and hence the class of stationary Markov chains) into four mutually exclusive categories as described below.

- (1) Irreducible with $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}^k$ existing (i.e., \mathbf{P} is primitive).
- (2) Irreducible with $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}^k$ not existing (i.e., \mathbf{P} is imprimitive).
- (3) Reducible with $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}^k$ existing.
- (4) Reducible with $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}^k$ not existing.

In case (1), where \mathbf{P} is primitive, we know exactly what $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}^k$ looks like. The Perron vector for \mathbf{P} is \mathbf{e}/n (the uniform distribution vector), so if $\boldsymbol{\pi} = (\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_n)^T$ is the Perron vector for \mathbf{P}^T , then

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}^k = \frac{(\mathbf{e}/n)\boldsymbol{\pi}^T}{\boldsymbol{\pi}^T(\mathbf{e}/n)} = \frac{\mathbf{e}\boldsymbol{\pi}^T}{\boldsymbol{\pi}^T\mathbf{e}} = \mathbf{e}\boldsymbol{\pi}^T = \begin{pmatrix} \pi_1 & \pi_2 & \cdots & \pi_n \\ \pi_1 & \pi_2 & \cdots & \pi_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \pi_1 & \pi_2 & \cdots & \pi_n \end{pmatrix} > \mathbf{0} \quad (8.4.3)$$

by (8.3.10) on p. 674. Therefore, if \mathbf{P} is primitive, then a limiting probability distribution exists, and it is given by

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{p}^T(k) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{p}^T(0)\mathbf{P}^k = \mathbf{p}^T(0)\mathbf{e}\boldsymbol{\pi}^T = \boldsymbol{\pi}^T. \quad (8.4.4)$$

Notice that because $\sum_k p_k(0) = 1$, the term $\mathbf{p}^T(0)\mathbf{e}$ drops away, so we have the conclusion that the value of the limit is *independent* of the value of the initial distribution $\mathbf{p}^T(0)$, which isn't too surprising.

Example 8.4.2

Going back to the mouse-in-the-box example, it's easy to confirm that the transition matrix \mathbf{M} in (8.4.1) is primitive, so $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{M}^k$ as well as $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{p}^T(0)$ must exist, and their values are determined by the left-hand Perron vector of \mathbf{M} that can be found by calculating any nonzero vector $\mathbf{v} \in N(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{M}^T)$ and normalizing it to produce $\boldsymbol{\pi}^T = \mathbf{v}^T / \|\mathbf{v}\|_1$. Routine computation reveals that the one solution of the homogeneous equation $(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{M}^T)\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ is $\mathbf{v}^T = (2, 3, 3)$, so $\boldsymbol{\pi}^T = (1/8)(2, 3, 3)$, and thus

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{M}^k = \frac{1}{8} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{p}^T(k) = \frac{1}{8}(2, 3, 3).$$

This limiting distribution can be interpreted as meaning that in the long run the mouse will occupy chamber #1 one-fourth of the time, while 37.5% of the time it's in chamber #2, and 37.5% of the time it's in chamber #3, and this is independent of where (or how) the process started. The mathematical justification for this statement is on p. 693.
