

# Solutions for Chapter 8

## Solutions for exercises in section 8. 2

- 8.2.1.** The eigenvalues are  $\sigma(\mathbf{A}) = \{12, 6\}$  with  $\text{alg mult}_{\mathbf{A}}(6) = 2$ , and it's clear that  $12 = \rho(\mathbf{A}) \in \sigma(\mathbf{A})$ . The eigenspace  $N(\mathbf{A} - 12\mathbf{I})$  is spanned by  $\mathbf{e} = (1, 1, 1)^T$ , so the Perron vector is  $\mathbf{p} = (1/3)(1, 1, 1)^T$ . The left-hand eigenspace  $N(\mathbf{A}^T - 12\mathbf{I})$  is spanned by  $(1, 2, 3)^T$ , so the left-hand Perron vector is  $\mathbf{q}^T = (1/6)(1, 2, 3)$ .
- 8.2.3.** If  $\mathbf{p}_1$  and  $\mathbf{p}_2$  are two vectors satisfying  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{p} = \rho(\mathbf{A})\mathbf{p}$ ,  $\mathbf{p} > \mathbf{0}$ , and  $\|\mathbf{p}\|_1 = 1$ , then  $\dim N(\mathbf{A} - \rho(\mathbf{A})\mathbf{I}) = 1$  implies that  $\mathbf{p}_1 = \alpha\mathbf{p}_2$  for some  $\alpha < 0$ . But  $\|\mathbf{p}_1\|_1 = \|\mathbf{p}_2\|_1 = 1$  insures that  $\alpha = 1$ .
- 8.2.4.**  $\sigma(\mathbf{A}) = \{0, 1\}$ , so  $\rho(\mathbf{A}) = 1$  is the Perron root, and the Perron vector is  $\mathbf{p} = (\alpha + \beta)^{-1}(\beta, \alpha)$ .
- 8.2.5.** (a)  $\rho(\mathbf{A}/r) = 1$  is a simple eigenvalue of  $\mathbf{A}/r$ , and it's the only eigenvalue on the spectral circle of  $\mathbf{A}/r$ , so (7.10.33) on p. 630 guarantees that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (\mathbf{A}/r)^k$  exists.
- (b) This follows from (7.10.34) on p. 630.
- (c)  $\mathbf{G}$  is the spectral projector associated with the simple eigenvalue  $\lambda = r$ , so formula (7.2.12) on p. 518 applies.
- 8.2.6.** If  $\mathbf{e}$  is the column of all 1's, then  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{e} = \rho\mathbf{e}$ . Since  $\mathbf{e} > \mathbf{0}$ , it must be a positive multiple of the Perron vector  $\mathbf{p}$ , and hence  $\mathbf{p} = n^{-1}\mathbf{e}$ . Therefore,  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{p} = \rho\mathbf{p}$  implies that  $\rho = \rho(\mathbf{A})$ . The result for column sums follows by considering  $\mathbf{A}^T$ .
- 8.2.7.** Since  $\rho = \max_i \sum_j a_{ij}$  is the largest row sum of  $\mathbf{A}$ , there must exist a matrix  $\mathbf{E} \geq \mathbf{0}$  such that every row sum of  $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{E}$  is  $\rho$ . Use Example 7.10.2 (p. 619) together with Exercise 8.2.7 to obtain  $\rho(\mathbf{A}) \leq \rho(\mathbf{B}) = \rho$ . The lower bound follows from the Collatz–Wielandt formula. If  $\mathbf{e}$  is the column of ones, then  $\mathbf{e} \in \mathcal{N}$ , so

$$\rho(\mathbf{A}) = \max_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{N}} f(\mathbf{x}) \geq f(\mathbf{e}) = \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \frac{[\mathbf{A}\mathbf{e}]_i}{e_i} = \min_i \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}.$$

- 8.2.8.** (a), (b), (c), and (d) are illustrated by using the nilpotent matrix  $\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .
- (e)  $\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  has eigenvalues  $\pm 1$ .

- 8.2.9.** If  $\xi = g(\mathbf{x})$  for  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{P}$ , then  $\xi\mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} > \mathbf{0}$ . Let  $\mathbf{p}$  and  $\mathbf{q}^T$  be the respective the right-hand and left-hand Perron vectors for  $\mathbf{A}$  associated with the Perron root  $r$ , and use (8.2.3) along with  $\mathbf{q}^T\mathbf{x} > 0$  to write

$$\xi\mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} > \mathbf{0} \implies \xi\mathbf{q}^T\mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{q}^T\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = r\mathbf{q}^T\mathbf{x} \implies \xi \geq r,$$

so  $g(\mathbf{x}) \geq r$  for all  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{P}$ . Since  $g(\mathbf{p}) = r$  and  $\mathbf{p} \in \mathcal{P}$ , it follows that  $r = \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{P}} g(\mathbf{x})$ .

$$8.2.10. \quad \mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \implies \rho(\mathbf{A}) = 5, \text{ but } g(\mathbf{e}_1) = 1 \implies \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{N}} g(\mathbf{x}) < \rho(\mathbf{A}).$$

### Solutions for exercises in section 8.3

---

- 8.3.1.** (a) The graph is strongly connected.  
 (b)  $\rho(\mathbf{A}) = 3$ , and  $\mathbf{p} = (1/6, 1/2, 1/3)^T$ .  
 (c)  $h = 2$  because  $\mathbf{A}$  is imprimitive and singular.
- 8.3.2.** If  $\mathbf{A}$  is nonsingular then there are either one or two distinct nonzero eigenvalues inside the spectral circle. But this is impossible because  $\sigma(\mathbf{A})$  has to be invariant under rotations of  $120^\circ$  by the result on p. 677. Similarly, if  $\mathbf{A}$  is singular with  $\text{alg mult}_{\mathbf{A}}(0) = 1$ , then there is a single nonzero eigenvalue inside the spectral circle, which is impossible.
- 8.3.3.** No! The matrix  $\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$  has  $\rho(\mathbf{A}) = 2$  with a corresponding eigenvector  $\mathbf{e} = (1, 1)^T$ , but  $\mathbf{A}$  is reducible.
- 8.3.4.**  $\mathbf{P}_n$  is nonnegative and irreducible (its graph is strongly connected), and  $\mathbf{P}_n$  is imprimitive because  $\mathbf{P}_n^n = \mathbf{I}$  insures that every power has zero entries. Furthermore, if  $\lambda \in \sigma(\mathbf{P}_n)$ , then  $\lambda^n \in \sigma(\mathbf{P}_n^n) = \{1\}$ , so all eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{P}_n$  are roots of unity. Since all eigenvalues on the spectral circle are simple (recall (8.3.13) on p. 676) and uniformly distributed, it must be the case that  $\sigma(\mathbf{P}_n) = \{1, \omega, \omega^2, \dots, \omega^{n-1}\}$ .
- 8.3.5.**  $\mathbf{A}$  is irreducible because the graph  $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{A})$  is strongly connected—every node is accessible by some sequence of paths from every other node.
- 8.3.6.**  $\mathbf{A}$  is imprimitive. This is easily seen by observing that each  $\mathbf{A}^{2n}$  for  $n > 1$  has the same zero pattern (and each  $\mathbf{A}^{2n+1}$  for  $n > 0$  has the same zero pattern), so every power of  $\mathbf{A}$  has zero entries.
- 8.3.7.** (a) Having row sums less than or equal to 1 means that  $\|\mathbf{P}\|_\infty \leq 1$ . Because  $\rho(\star) \leq \|\star\|$  for every matrix norm (recall (7.1.12) on p. 497), it follows that  $\rho(\mathbf{S}) \leq \|\mathbf{S}\|_1 \leq 1$ .  
 (b) If  $\mathbf{e}$  denotes the column of all 1's, then the hypothesis insures that  $\mathbf{S}\mathbf{e} \leq \mathbf{e}$ , and  $\mathbf{S}\mathbf{e} \neq \mathbf{e}$ . Since  $\mathbf{S}$  is irreducible, the result in Example 8.3.1 (p. 674) implies that it's impossible to have  $\rho(\mathbf{S}) = 1$  (otherwise  $\mathbf{S}\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{e}$ ), and therefore  $\rho(\mathbf{S}) < 1$  by part (a).
- 8.3.8.** If  $\mathbf{p}$  is the Perron vector for  $\mathbf{A}$ , and if  $\mathbf{e}$  is the column of 1's, then

$$\mathbf{D}^{-1}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{D}^{-1}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{p} = r\mathbf{D}^{-1}\mathbf{p} = r\mathbf{e}$$

shows that every row sum of  $\mathbf{D}^{-1}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{D}$  is  $r$ , so we can take  $\mathbf{P} = r^{-1}\mathbf{D}^{-1}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{D}$  because the Perron–Frobenius theorem guarantees that  $r > 0$ .

- 8.3.9.** Construct the Boolean matrices as described in Example 8.3.5 (p. 680), and show that  $\mathbf{B}_9$  has a zero in the  $(1, 1)$  position, but  $\mathbf{B}_{10} > \mathbf{0}$ .

**8.3.10.** According to the discussion on p. 630,  $\mathbf{f}(t) \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$  if  $r < 1$ . If  $r = 1$ , then  $\mathbf{f}(t) \rightarrow \mathbf{G}\mathbf{f}(0) = \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{q}^T\mathbf{f}(0)/\mathbf{q}^T\mathbf{p}) > \mathbf{0}$ , and if  $r > 1$ , the results of the Leslie analysis imply that  $f_k(t) \rightarrow \infty$  for each  $k$ .

**8.3.11.** The only nonzero coefficient in the characteristic equation for  $\mathbf{L}$  is  $c_1$ , so  $\gcd\{2, 3, \dots, n\} = 1$ .

**8.3.12.** (a) Suppose that  $\mathbf{A}$  is essentially positive. Since we can always find a  $\beta > 0$  such that  $\beta\mathbf{I} + \text{diag}(a_{11}, a_{22}, \dots, a_{nn}) \geq \mathbf{0}$ , and since  $a_{ij} \geq 0$  for  $i \neq j$ , it follows that  $\mathbf{A} + \beta\mathbf{I}$  is a nonnegative irreducible matrix, so (8.3.5) on p. 672 can be applied to conclude that  $(\mathbf{A} + (1 + \beta)\mathbf{I})^{n-1} > \mathbf{0}$ , and thus  $\mathbf{A} + \alpha\mathbf{I}$  is primitive with  $\alpha = \beta + 1$ . Conversely, if  $\mathbf{A} + \alpha\mathbf{I}$  is primitive, then  $\mathbf{A} + \alpha\mathbf{I}$  must be nonnegative and irreducible, and hence  $a_{ij} \geq 0$  for every  $i \neq j$ , and  $\mathbf{A}$  must be irreducible (diagonal entries don't affect the reducibility or irreducibility).

(b) If  $\mathbf{A}$  is essentially positive, then  $\mathbf{A} + \alpha\mathbf{I}$  is primitive for some  $\alpha$  (by the first part), so  $(\mathbf{A} + \alpha\mathbf{I})^k > \mathbf{0}$  for some  $k$ . Consequently, for all  $t > 0$ ,

$$\mathbf{0} < \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^k(\mathbf{A} + \alpha\mathbf{I})^k}{k!} = e^{t(\mathbf{A} + \alpha\mathbf{I})} = e^{t\mathbf{A}}e^{t\alpha\mathbf{I}} = \mathbf{B} \implies \mathbf{0} < e^{-\alpha t}\mathbf{B} = e^{t\mathbf{A}}.$$

Conversely, if  $\mathbf{0} < e^{t\mathbf{A}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t^k\mathbf{A}^k/k!$  for all  $t > 0$ , then  $a_{ij} \geq 0$  for every  $i \neq j$ , for if  $a_{ij} < 0$  for some  $i \neq j$ , then there exists a sufficiently small  $t > 0$  such that  $[\mathbf{I} + t\mathbf{A} + t^2\mathbf{A}^2/2 + \dots]_{ij} < 0$ , which is impossible. Furthermore,  $\mathbf{A}$  must be irreducible; otherwise

$$\mathbf{A} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X} & \mathbf{Y} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{Z} \end{pmatrix} \implies e^{t\mathbf{A}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t^k\mathbf{A}^k/k! \sim \begin{pmatrix} \star & \star \\ \mathbf{0} & \star \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{which is impossible.}$$

**8.3.13.** (a) Being essentially positive implies that there exists some  $\alpha \in \Re$  such that  $\mathbf{A} + \alpha\mathbf{I}$  is nonnegative and irreducible (by Exercise 8.3.12). If  $(r, \mathbf{x})$  is the Perron eigenpair for  $\mathbf{A} + \alpha\mathbf{I}$ , then for  $\xi = r - \alpha$ ,  $(\xi, \mathbf{x})$  is an eigenpair for  $\mathbf{A}$ .

(b) Every eigenvalue of  $\mathbf{A} + \alpha\mathbf{I}$  has the form  $z = \lambda + \alpha$ , where  $\lambda \in \sigma(\mathbf{A})$ , so if  $r$  is the Perron root of  $\mathbf{A} + \alpha\mathbf{I}$ , then for  $z \neq r$ ,

$$|z| < r \implies \text{Re}(z) < r \implies \text{Re}(\lambda + \alpha) < r \implies \text{Re}(\lambda) < r - \alpha = \xi.$$

(c) If  $\mathbf{A} \leq \mathbf{B}$ , then  $\mathbf{A} + \alpha\mathbf{I} \leq \mathbf{B} + \alpha\mathbf{I}$ , so Wielandt's theorem (p. 675) insures that  $r_A = \rho(\mathbf{A} + \alpha\mathbf{I}) \leq \rho(\mathbf{B} + \alpha\mathbf{I}) = r_B$ , and hence  $\xi_A = r_A - \alpha \leq r_B - \alpha = \xi_B$ .

**8.3.14.** If  $\mathbf{A}$  is primitive with  $r = \rho(\mathbf{A})$ , then, by (8.3.10) on p. 674,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\mathbf{A}}{r}\right)^k \rightarrow \mathbf{G} > \mathbf{0} &\implies \exists k_0 \text{ such that } \left(\frac{\mathbf{A}}{r}\right)^m > \mathbf{0} \quad \forall m \geq k_0 \\ &\implies \frac{a_{ij}^{(m)}}{r^m} > 0 \quad \forall m \geq k_0 \\ &\implies \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{a_{ij}^{(m)}}{r^m}\right)^{1/m} \rightarrow 1 \implies \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} [a_{ij}^{(m)}]^{1/m} = r. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, we know from the Perron–Frobenius theorem that  $r > 0$ , so if  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} [a_{ij}^{(k)}]^{1/k} = r$ , then  $\exists k_0$  such that  $\forall m \geq k_0$ ,  $[a_{ij}^{(m)}]^{1/m} > 0$ , which implies that  $\mathbf{A}^m > \mathbf{0}$ , and thus  $\mathbf{A}$  is primitive by Frobenius’s test (p. 678).

## Solutions for exercises in section 8. 4

- 8.4.1.** The left-hand Perron vector for  $\mathbf{P}$  is  $\boldsymbol{\pi}^T = (10/59, 4/59, 18/59, 27/59)$ . It’s the limiting distribution in the regular sense because  $\mathbf{P}$  is primitive (it has a positive diagonal entry—recall Example 8.3.3 (p. 678)).
- 8.4.2.** The left-hand Perron vector is  $\boldsymbol{\pi}^T = (1/n)(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ . Thus the limiting distribution is the uniform distribution, and in the long run, each state is occupied an equal proportion of the time. The limiting matrix is  $\mathbf{G} = (1/n)\mathbf{e}\mathbf{e}^T$ .
- 8.4.3.** If  $\mathbf{P}$  is irreducible, then  $\rho(\mathbf{P}) = 1$  is a simple eigenvalue for  $\mathbf{P}$ , so

$$\text{rank}(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}) = n - \dim N(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}) = n - \text{geo mult}_{\mathbf{P}}(1) = n - \text{alg mult}_{\mathbf{P}}(1) = n - 1.$$

- 8.4.4.** Let  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}$ , and recall that  $\text{rank}(\mathbf{A}) = n - 1$  (Exercise 8.4.3). Consequently,

$$\mathbf{A} \text{ singular} \implies \mathbf{A}[\text{adj}(\mathbf{A})] = \mathbf{0} = [\text{adj}(\mathbf{A})]\mathbf{A} \quad (\text{Exercise 6.2.8, p. 484}),$$

and

$$\text{rank}(\mathbf{A}) = n - 1 \implies \text{rank}(\text{adj}(\mathbf{A})) = 1 \quad (\text{Exercises 6.2.11}).$$

It follows from  $\mathbf{A}[\text{adj}(\mathbf{A})] = \mathbf{0}$  and the Perron–Frobenius theorem that each column of  $[\text{adj}(\mathbf{A})]$  must be a multiple of  $\mathbf{e}$  (the column of 1’s or, equivalently, the right-hand Perron vector for  $\mathbf{P}$ ), so  $[\text{adj}(\mathbf{A})] = \mathbf{e}\mathbf{v}^T$  for some vector  $\mathbf{v}$ . But  $[\text{adj}(\mathbf{A})]_{ii} = P_i$  forces  $\mathbf{v}^T = (P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n)$ . Similarly,  $[\text{adj}(\mathbf{A})]\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{0}$  insures that each row in  $[\text{adj}(\mathbf{A})]$  is a multiple of  $\boldsymbol{\pi}^T$  (the left-hand Perron vector of  $\mathbf{P}$ ), and hence  $\mathbf{v}^T = \alpha\boldsymbol{\pi}^T$  for some  $\alpha$ . This scalar  $\alpha$  can’t be zero; otherwise  $[\text{adj}(\mathbf{A})] = \mathbf{0}$ , which is impossible because  $\text{rank}(\text{adj}(\mathbf{A})) = 1$ . Therefore,  $\mathbf{v}^T\mathbf{e} = \alpha \neq 0$ , and  $\mathbf{v}^T/(\mathbf{v}^T\mathbf{e}) = \mathbf{v}^T/\alpha = \boldsymbol{\pi}^T$ .

- 8.4.5.** If  $\mathbf{Q}_{k \times k}$  ( $1 \leq k < n$ ) is a principal submatrix of  $\mathbf{P}$ , then there is a permutation matrix  $\mathbf{H}$  such that  $\mathbf{H}^T\mathbf{P}\mathbf{H} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{X} \\ \mathbf{Y} & \mathbf{Z} \end{pmatrix} = \tilde{\mathbf{P}}$ . If  $\mathbf{B} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}$ , then  $\mathbf{B} \leq \tilde{\mathbf{P}}$ , and we know from Wielandt’s theorem (p. 675) that  $\rho(\mathbf{B}) \leq \rho(\tilde{\mathbf{P}}) = 1$ , and if  $\rho(\mathbf{B}) = \rho(\tilde{\mathbf{P}}) = 1$ , then there is a number  $\phi$  and a nonsingular diagonal matrix  $\mathbf{D}$  such that  $\mathbf{B} = e^{i\phi}\mathbf{D}\tilde{\mathbf{P}}\mathbf{D}^{-1}$  or, equivalently,  $\tilde{\mathbf{P}} = e^{-i\phi}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{D}^{-1}$ . But this implies that  $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{0}$ ,  $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{0}$ , and  $\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{0}$ , which is impossible because  $\mathbf{P}$  is irreducible. Therefore,  $\rho(\mathbf{B}) < 1$ , and thus  $\rho(\mathbf{Q}) < 1$ .
- 8.4.6.** In order for  $\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{Q}$  to be an M-matrix, it must be the case that  $[\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{Q}]_{ij} \leq 0$  for  $i \neq j$ , and  $\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{Q}$  must be nonsingular with  $(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{Q})^{-1} \geq \mathbf{0}$ . It’s clear that  $[\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{Q}]_{ij} \leq 0$  because  $0 \leq q_{ij} \leq 1$ . Exercise 8.4.5 says that  $\rho(\mathbf{Q}) < 1$ , so

the Neumann series expansion (p. 618) insures that  $\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{Q}$  is nonsingular and  $(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{Q})^{-1} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{Q}^j \geq \mathbf{0}$ . Thus  $\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{Q}$  is an M-matrix.

**8.4.7.** We know from Exercise 8.4.6 that every principal submatrix of order  $1 \leq k < n$  is an M-matrix, and M-matrices have positive determinants by (7.10.28) on p. 626.

**8.4.8.** You can consider an absorbing chain with eight states

$$\{(1, 1, 1), (1, 1, 0), (1, 0, 1), (0, 1, 1), (1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1), (0, 0, 0)\}$$

similar to what was described in Example 8.4.5, or you can use a four-state chain in which the states are defined to be the *number* of controls that hold at each activation of the system. Using the eight-state chain yields the following mean-time-to-failure vector.

$$\begin{pmatrix} (1, 1, 1) \\ (1, 1, 0) \\ (1, 0, 1) \\ (0, 1, 1) \\ (1, 0, 0) \\ (0, 1, 0) \\ (0, 0, 1) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 368.4 \\ 366.6 \\ 366.6 \\ 366.6 \\ 361.3 \\ 361.3 \\ 361.3 \end{pmatrix} = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{T}_{11})^{-1} \mathbf{e}.$$

**8.4.9.** This is a Markov chain with nine states  $(c, m)$  in which  $c$  is the chamber occupied by the cat, and  $m$  is the chamber occupied by the mouse. There are three absorbing states—namely  $(1, 1)$ ,  $(2, 2)$ ,  $(3, 3)$ . The transition matrix is

$$\mathbf{P} = \frac{1}{72} \begin{matrix} & \begin{matrix} (1, 2) & (1, 3) & (2, 1) & (2, 3) & (3, 1) & (3, 2) & (1, 1) & (2, 2) & (3, 3) \end{matrix} \\ \begin{matrix} (1, 2) \\ (1, 3) \\ (2, 1) \\ (2, 3) \\ (3, 1) \\ (3, 2) \\ (1, 1) \\ (2, 2) \\ (3, 3) \end{matrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 18 & 12 & 3 & 6 & 3 & 9 & 6 & 9 & 6 \\ 12 & 18 & 3 & 9 & 3 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 9 \\ 3 & 3 & 18 & 9 & 12 & 6 & 6 & 9 & 6 \\ 4 & 6 & 6 & 18 & 4 & 8 & 2 & 12 & 12 \\ 3 & 3 & 12 & 6 & 18 & 9 & 6 & 6 & 9 \\ 6 & 4 & 4 & 8 & 6 & 18 & 2 & 12 & 12 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 72 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 72 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 72 \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix}$$

The expected number of steps until absorption and absorption probabilities are

$$(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{T}_{11})^{-1} \mathbf{e} = \begin{pmatrix} (1, 2) \\ (1, 3) \\ (2, 1) \\ (2, 3) \\ (3, 1) \\ (3, 2) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 3.24 \\ 3.24 \\ 3.24 \\ 2.97 \\ 3.24 \\ 2.97 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{T}_{11})^{-1} \mathbf{T}_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} (1, 1) & (2, 2) & (3, 3) \\ 0.226 & 0.41 & 0.364 \\ 0.226 & 0.364 & 0.41 \\ 0.226 & 0.41 & 0.364 \\ 0.142 & 0.429 & 0.429 \\ 0.226 & 0.364 & 0.41 \\ 0.142 & 0.429 & 0.429 \end{pmatrix}$$